

OPEN MEETING ITEM

M CANCED AND CONTROL OF THE STORY OF THE STO

RECEIVED AZ CORP COMMUSION

TO:

THE COMMISSION

MAY 03 1999

Kay 3 11 40 AN '99

FROM:

Utilities Division

DOCKETED BY

DOCUMENT COMPACE

DATE:

April 30, 1999

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF BROKEN BOW GAS COMPANY TO PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTOR BANK BALANCE (DOCKET NO. G-00000C-98-0568)

On April 14, 1999, Energy West Arizona, also known as Broken Bow Gas (Broken Bow), filed for Commission approval of the amortization of its purchased gas adjustor (PGA) bank balance as of February 28, 1999. This filing by Broken Bow is in response to the Commission Decision No. 61225 (October 30, 1998), which ordered most Arizona local distribution companies (LDCs) to implement a new 12-month rolling average PGA mechanism on June 1, 1999. The general framework of the new PGA mechanism came out of a series of meetings of the Purchased Gas Adjustor Design working group in the summer of 1998. The new 12-month rolling average PGA mechanism was implemented to address issues including natural gas price volatility, gas cost recovery flexibility, and uniformity between PGA mechanisms.

After the Commission issued Decision No. 61225, Staff, the LDCs, and other interested parties met several times to discuss various implementation issues. Decision No. 61225 contemplated the freezing of the bank balance accrued under the existing PGA mechanism, and the amortization of the under- or over-collection reflected in the existing bank balance. This would enable the new PGA mechanism to begin with a bank balance of zero. Zeroing out the bank balance is necessary because interest will be applied to the new bank balance, but not the frozen bank balance.

As of February 28, 1999, Broken Bow's bank balance is overcollected by \$98,167. In its filing, Broken Bow proposes to refund this bank balance over a 12-month period, resulting in a refund rate of \$0.034 per therm, based upon annual sales of 2,872,241 therms. This refund rate would be applied to customer bills until the first month in which the frozen bank balance is fully refunded, at which time the refund rate would be discontinued. Any money recovered beyond that required to refund the frozen bank balance would be accounted for in the new bank balance.

Given the changes being made in the PGA mechanism, a phase-in could be needed to transition from Broken Bow's currently effective PGA rate to the new rolling average PGA rate. To determine whether a phase-in is needed, it is necessary to compare the total cost of gas currently reflected in Broken Bow's rates with the total cost of gas to be reflected on customer bills beginning on June 1, 1999. Components of the total cost of gas include the base cost of gas, the PGA rate, and if applicable, a refund rate for the bank balance.

を達む、自行行のという日本

A phase-in would be necessary if there was a sizable difference between the current total cost of gas and the June 1st total cost of gas. The exact total cost of gas for June 1st will not be known until late May, when Broken Bow finalizes the most recent gas cost information. However, as part of the April 12st filling, Broken Bow provided the actual 12-month cost of gas through the end of February 1999. For the purpose of determining whether a phase-in is necessary, the February 1999, 12-month cost of gas is expected to be sufficiently close to the June 1st 12-month cost of gas. Broken Bow's 12-month average cost of gas at the end of February 1999 is \$0.5812 per therm. The table below shows the current total cost of gas and the estimated total cost of gas for June 1st.

| | Current Cost of Gas | Estimated Cost of Gas For June 1 st |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Base Cost of Gas | \$0.6248 per therm | \$0.6248 per therm |
| Bank Balance Amortization | 0 | -\$0.034 per therm |
| PGA Rate | \$0.00 per therm | -\$0.0436 per therm |
| Total Cost of Gas | \$0.6248 per therm | \$0.54720 per therm |

The estimated change in the total cost of gas is a negative \$0.07760 per therm. Given this the fact that it is a reduction in the customer's billed rate, a phase-in is not necessary. After the freezing of the bank balance at the end of February 1999 and the implementation of the new PGA mechanism in June 1999, the LDCs will experience over- and/or under-recoveries of gas costs. To account for these over- and under-collections, monthly over- and under-collections incurred by Broken Bow after the bank balance is frozen at the end of February 1999 would be included in the new bank balance.

Staff believes that the proposed refund rate of a negative \$0.034 per therm is reasonable and should be adopted. Staff recommends approval of this filing.

Ray T. Williamson
Acting Director

Utilities Division

RTW:BGG:sjs\JMA

ORIGINATOR: Robert Gray

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

| 2 | JIM IRVIN Commissioner - Chairman TONY WEST | | | |
|-----|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4 | Commissioner CARL J. KUNASEK Commissioner | | | |
| | IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLIC BROKEN BOW GAS COMPANY ' AMORTIZE THE PURCHASED G ADJUSTOR BANK BALANCE | TO) | DOCKET NO DECISION N ORDER | O. G-00000C-98-0568 |
| 10 | Open Meeting May 11 and 12, 1999 Phoenix, Arizona | | | |
| 12 | BY THE COMMISSION: | | | |
| 13 | | FINDINGS OF FA | CT | |
| • • | | <i>~</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |

- 1. Broken Bow Gas Company (Broken Bow) is engaged in providing natural gas within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- 2. On April 14, 1999, Energy West Arizona, also known as Broken Bow Gas (Broken Bow), filed for Commission approval of the amortization of its purchased gas adjuster (PGA) bank balance as of February 28, 1999. This filing by Broken Bow is in response to the Commission Decision No. 61225 (October 30, 1998), which ordered most Arizon I local distribution companies (LDCs) to implement a new 12-month rolling average PGA mechanism on June 1, 1999.
- 3. The general framework of the new PGA mechanism came out of a series of meetings22 of the Purchased Gas Adjustor Design working group in the summer of 1998.
- 4. The new 12-month rolling average PGA mechanism was implemented to address issues including natural gas price volatility, gas cost recovery flexibility, and uniformity between PGA mechanisms.
- 5. After the Commission issued Decision No. 61225, Staff, the LDCs, and other interested parties met several times to discuss various implementation issues.

28

11

13

- Decision No. 61225 contemplated the freezing of the bank balance accrued under the 6. existing PGA mechanism, and the amortization of the under or over-collection reflected in the existing 3 bank balance. This would enable the new PGA mechanism to begin with a bank balance of zero. Ezeroing out the bank balance is necessary because interest will be applied to the new bank balance, 5 but not the frozen bank balance.
 - 7. As of February 28, 1999, Broken Bow's bank balance is overcollected by \$98,167.
- 8. In its filing, Broken Bow proposes to refund this bank balance over a 12-month period, 8 resulting in a refund rate of \$0.034 per therm, based upon annual sales of 2,872,24 therms. This refund rate would be applied to customer bills until the first month in which the frozen bank balance 10 is fully refunded, at which time the refund rate would be discontinued.
- Any money recovered beyond that required to refund the frozen bank balance would be 12 accounted for in the new bank balance.
- 10. Given the changes being made in the PGA mechanism, a phase-in could be needed to 14 transition from Broken Bow's currently effective PGA rate to the new rolling average PGA rate. To 15 determine whether a phase-in is needed, it is necessary to compare the total cost of gas currently 16 reflected in Broken F ow's rates with the total cost of gas to be reflected on customer bills beginning 17 Ion June 1. 1999. Components of the total cost of gas include the base cost of gas, the PGA rate, and 18 if applicable, a refund rate for the bank balance.
- 19 A phase in would be necessary if there was a sizable difference between the current total 20 cost of gas and the June 1st total cost of gas.
- 21 The exact total cost of gas for June 1st will not be known until late May, when Broken 12. 22 Bow finalizes the most recent gas cost information. However, as part of the April 12th filing, Broken 23 Bow provided the actual 12-month cost of gas through the end of February 1999.
- 24 13. For the purpose of determining whether a phase-in is necessary, the February 1999 12-25 month cost of gas is expected to be sufficiently close to the June 1st 12-month cost of gas. Broken 26 Bow's 12-month average cost of gas at the end of February 1999 is \$0.5812 per therm.

271. . .

28

Decision No.

3

11

17

18

19

20

The table below shows the current total cost of gas and the estimated total cost of gas for 2 June 1*.

| | Current Cost of Gas | Estimated Cost of Gas For June 1st |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Base Cost of Gas | \$0.6248 per therm | \$0.6248 per therm |
| Bank Balance Amortization | 0 | -\$0.034 per therm |
| PGA Rate | \$0.00 per therm | -\$0.0436 per therm |
| Total Cost of Gas | \$0.6248 per therm | \$0.54720 per therm |

The estimated change in the total cost of gas is a negative \$0.07760 per therm. Given 15. this the fact that it is a reduction in the customer's billed rate, a phase-in is not necessary.

10 After the freezing of the bank balance at the end of February 1999 and the 16. implementation of the new PGA mechanism in June 1999, the LDCs will experience over- and/or under-recoveries of gas costs. To account for these over and under-collections, monthly over- and under-collections incurred by Broken Bow after the bank balance is frozen at the end of February 1999 14 would be included in the new bank balance.

- 15 Staff believes that the proposed refund rate of a negative \$0.034 per therm is reasonable and should be adopted.
 - Staff has recommended approval of this filing. 18.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Broken How is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, 1. Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 21 The Commission has jurisdiction over Broken Bow and over the subject matter of the 2. 22 application.
- 23 The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated 3. April 30, 1999, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the filing.

25 26 27

28

Decision No.

| | Pag | e 4 |
|--|-----|-----|
| | | |

3

Docket No. G-00000C-98-0568

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the filing be and hereby is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

| 7 COMMISSIONER - CHAIRMAN | COMMISSIONER | COMMISSIONER | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|--|
| 8 9 10 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. MCNEIL Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day o, 1999. | | |
| 11 | , 19 | 999. | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | BRIAN C. MCNEIL Executive Secretary | | |
| 15 | | | |
| DISSENT: | | | |
| 17 RTW:BGG:sjs\JMA | - The Theory of Miles and the succession | | |
| 18 RT W.BGO:SJSUMIA | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | n . | ecision No. | |